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Shippers and consignees require efficient & effective logistics services in their supply chain.

Linkages among countries in Asia need to be strengthened to facilitate trade and integrate supply chains for better access to the global market.

Global economic integration relies upon efficient global supply chains but integration can only succeed if there is cooperation among trading nations.
Supply chain management is an integrative approach for planning and controlling the material flow from suppliers to end-users.

The goal of supply chain management is to meet customer service objectives while simultaneously minimising transport, inventory, and other associated costs.

The integration of supply chains in Asia can provide a foundation for further economic cooperation and development.
Supply chain security can be perceived as inconsistent with the objective of facilitating international trade.

All security conditions must be met and guaranteed in order for goods to move unhindered within supply chains.

Supply chains are structured by an integration of services and transhipment functions to distribution functions at hub centres. The security of these hub centres as nodal links in the supply chain is of critical importance.
Security is now considered one of the necessary pre-conditions for a high performance supply chain that is able to guarantee high economic performances.

Supply chain security is leading to an increase in logistics costs.

Supply chain security issues, if not dealt with properly can also become the main cause of delay and uncertainties.
Players in the Security Supply Chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Governments</th>
<th>Customs have the duty to protect the national economy and society instead of merely focusing on goods control at the border.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The traders</td>
<td>Reliable, secure and efficient supply chain will contribute to global trade expansion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ports</td>
<td>Security will represent a critical variable in terms of competitiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The service providers</td>
<td>Key player in terms of security as they move goods and information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The insurance providers</td>
<td>Increase security = less insurance premium.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The competitiveness of internationally traded products is greatly influenced by various factors, which build up the overall logistics cost within supply chains. Key factors are:

- The cost associated with the physical transfer of the goods:
  - Transit Time.
  - Safety of Goods
  - Uncertainties
  - Security measures
The relationships between traders, services providers and governments must be clearly identified for proper supply chain co-ordination to be established.

The development of Asian supply chains will also demand the need for properly regulated logistics providers.
Due to the dynamic nature of the supply chain, amplifications and fluctuations occur, from suppliers all the way down the chain.

A robust control system that is flexible enough to counteract any disturbances along the supply chain is needed.

The selection of the right logistics service provider (LSP) is critical to supply chain competitiveness.
Role of Logistics Providers in a Supply Chain

Legend:
- Material flow
- Information flow
- Supply chain control by logistics providers
Logistics providers’ role in a regional supply chain: An example

- The client is only interested in having the goods delivered as per the agreed upon service levels.
- It is the duty of the logistics providers to find the optimal solutions that balances client’s cost and time requirements.
- A contextual scanning is needed in order to find the most suitable option for clients’ supply chains
  - Example of Thai–Vietnam SC routeing alternatives
Supply chain routeing alternatives between Thailand and Vietnam
In Asia, supply chain control processes including production scheduling, shipment of product and inventory maintenance are frequently de-centralised and remote from each other.

Slow feedback from the marketplace causes scheduled production to over or under manufacture in relation to the actual demand.

LSPs can design and develop effective supply chains by integrating multiple service suppliers into a seamless distribution system.
Supply chain management practices have been widely implemented between multinational firms operating in Asian country but these practices have not yet reached the small and medium sized local suppliers.

Supply chain performance for most local firms is weak but with strong potential for improvement, as most business owners do not have a grasp of supply chain issues.

SMEs in the region are not in control of their supply chain and are subject to the influence of focal firm which is usually a MNE.
The LSP cannot be successful on his own; he has to rely not only on his agency network and sub-contractors but also on his clients.

The challenge for LSPs in Asia is to:
- Identify essential transport infrastructure and networks
- Appraise all logistics options that will allow the LSP to achieve and maintain an active and competitive role in providing logistical services by integrating global supply chains.
An automotive global supply chain sourced raw materials (RM) from approved vendors in the US. The RM was transported over a 28-day transit time to a cut-and-sew operation in the North East of Thailand. Returned completed leather seat covers were then sent back to the US over another 28-day period. Delivery was to a seat assembly plant, which ultimately fed into a just-in-time (JIT) auto assembly plant in Detroit, USA.
Pipeline of weekly shipments between Thailand and the US

Source: Adapted from Rubesch & Banomyong (2005)
## Comparison of cost components for one leather seat cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost per seat cover, complete set (US$)</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other materials</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound logistics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inbound logistics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of inventory</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsolescence allowance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>362</strong></td>
<td><strong>314</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The case study presented here illustrates a deliberate supply chain competitive strategy that involved:

- Selecting an eight-week transit time over a one-week transit time
- Increased safety stock of four weeks, in order to utilise a more geographically distant supplier (i.e. in Thailand instead of Mexico for the American firm)
- Offering better quality and better yield at lower cost.
Supply chains are managed by focal member firm and their LSPs.

Difficult for Asian SMEs to integrate into global supply chains.

Cost is important but not the only factor...
  ◦ Time
  ◦ Reliability
  ◦ Quality
  ◦ Security

Key support role can be played by LSPs but an understanding of supply chain requirements are needed.
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