

How Are Long-term Support Systems Financed?

Social insurance and taxes are the most common mechanisms for financing support services.

On average, governments of member countries of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) spend the equivalent of 1.7 percent of GDP on support services in 2015, including health and personal assistance expenditures (OECD 2017). Average spending has increased at an annual rate of 4.6 percent, supported by an increasing demand for services between 2005 and 2015, but constrained by fiscal pressures.

The mechanisms to finance the costs of support services can be classified into two general categories (Costa-Font, Courbage and Swartz 2015). When financing is generated before the situation of dependency, as in the case of insurance, it is called *ex ante*. When it occurs after the situation of dependency, it is called *ex post*. This latter category includes both out-of-pocket expenses for families and public spending.

*Ex post* mechanisms are used more frequently than *ex ante* mechanisms, according to a study of 23 OECD countries (Costa-Font, Courbage and Swartz 2015). Social insurance and taxes are the most common mechanisms for financing support services, although it must be taken into account that these calculations

do not include the value of informal care. Private insurance is incipient in all countries, which can be explained by the fact that it is still very difficult to predict the cost of care that a person will need in the future, and by market failures, such as moral hazard and adverse selection (Barr, 2010). Finally, in some countries, including Portugal and Switzerland, outof-pocket expenses represent a very considerable proportion of care spending.

Most countries, with some exceptions such as the countries to the right in the following figure, use a combination of mechanisms to deal with support systems. Additionally, there has been a tendency to diversify funding sources. For example, Germany and Japan, which previously used only taxes, reformed their systems to include social insurance as an alternative source.

01





Most countries, with some exceptions such as the countries to the right in the figure below, use a combination of mechanisms to deal with support systems.

## 100% 90% 80% 40% 20% Korea Austria Czech Republic France Japan Spain Norway Netherlands Slovenia Germany Estonia Finland Australia Denmark Belgium Iceland ortugal Poland Hungary Switzerland Zealand Canada Sweden New Ex ante Balance Ex post Social insurance 📕 Private insurance 📕 Taxes 📕 Out-of-pocket household expenses 📗 Other ex-post sources

## Mechanisms to Finance the Costs of Support Services (percent)

## Source: Costa-Font, Courbage and Swartz 2015.

## Learning material prepared by Nadin Medellín.



Copyright © 2019 Inter-American Development Bank. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons IGO 3.0 Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives (CC-IGO BY-NC-ND 3.0 IGO) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/ legalcode) and may be reproduced with attribution to the IDB and for any non-commercial purpose. No derivative work is allowed.

Any dispute related to the use of the works of the IDB that cannot be settled amicably shall be submitted to arbitration pursuant to the UNCITRAL rules. The use of the IDB's name for any purpose other than for attribution, and the use of IDB's logo shall be subject to a separate written license agreement between the IDB and the user and is not authorized as part of this CC-IGO license.

Note that link provided above includes additional terms and conditions of the license.

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Inter-American Development Bank, its Board of Directors, or the countries they represent.



02

blogs.iadb.org/salud/en iadb.org/pan

iadb.org/panorama-of-aging