

# Greenhouse Gas Assessment Emissions Methodology

Milena Breisinger

## Inter-American Development Bank

VPS/ESG

**TECHNICAL NOTE** 

No. IDB-TN-455

## **Greenhouse Gas Assessment Emissions Methodology**

Milena Breisinger



Cataloging-in-Publication data provided by the Inter-American Development Bank Felipe Herrera Library

Breisinger, Milena.

Greenhouse gas emissions assessment methodology / Milena Breisinger.

p. cm. — (IDB Technical Note; 455)

Includes bibliographical references.

1. Greenhouse gases. II. Inter-American Development Bank—Evaluation. I. Inter-American Development Bank. Environmental and Social Safeguards Unit. II. Title. III. Series. IDB-TN-455

Jel Codes Q54 C13

#### http://www.iadb.org

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Inter-American Development Bank, its Board of Directors, or the countries they represent.

The unauthorized commercial use of Bank documents is prohibited and may be punishable under the Bank's policies and/or applicable laws.

Copyright © 2012 Inter-American Development Bank. All rights reserved; may be freely reproduced for any non-commercial purpose.

This Technical Note was prepared under the direction of the Environmental and Social Safeguards Unit (VPS/ESG) of the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB). This document provides the methodology prepared and used by IDB to assess the impact of its direct investments loans on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions since 2009.

This document was prepared under the supervision of Janine Ferretti, Chief of the Environmental and Social Safeguards Unit (VPS/ESG) by Milena Breisinger. GHG emission calculation tools presented within were developed by SAIC® under the guidance of Emmanuel Boulet and Milena Breisinger (VPS/ESG). Insightful contributions were provided by Amarilis Netwall (VPS/ESG). IDB appreciates the support from Deutsche Gesellschaft fuer Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH with funds from Bundesministerium fuer Wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ).

August 2012

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Carbon footprint	1
3.	Reporting gross and net figures	2
4.	Methodology	3
	Baseline for Renewable Energy Projects	
6.	Baseline for energy Efficiency projects	4
7.	Contents of this guidance	6
8.	GHG Emissions from construction	7
9.	GHG Emissions from one full year of operation	12

#### Acronyms

**ADB** Asian Development Bank

**CDM** Clean Development Mechanism

**CO<sub>2</sub>** Carbon Dioxide

**DOC** Degradable Organic Carbon

**DOE** Department of Energy

**EE** Energy Efficiency

**EF** Emission Factor

**EPA** Environmental Protection Agency

**FGD** Flue Gas Desulfurization

**GHG** Greenhouse Gases

**GJ** Gigajoules

**GWP** Global Warming Potential

ha Hectares

**HHV** High Heating Value

**IDB** Inter-American Development Bank

**IEA** International Energy Agency

**IFI** International Finance Institutions

**IPCC** Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

km Kilometer

**kWh** Kilowatt Hour

**LHV** Low Heating Value

LTO Landing and Takeoff

**MWh** Megawatt Hour

**RE** Renewable Energy

**ROW** Right-of-Way

sqf Square feet

sqm Square meter

t Tons

**T&D** Transmission and Distribution

**UNFCCC** United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

VKT Vehicle Kilometers Travelled

WBCSD World Business Council for Sustainable Development

WRI World Resources Institute

**WWTP** Wastewater Treatment Plant

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

IDB has assessed the impact on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of its direct investments (investment loans) since 2009. Summaries of these impacts were published for the first time in the Bank's *Sustainability Report 2011*.

All direct investment projects with emissions, or emissions savings, exceeding 25 kilotons CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalents (CO<sub>2</sub>e) per annum have been assessed, with a focus on projects in the seven sectors—energy, industry, agriculture, water and sanitation, transport, urban development, and tourism—that dominate the portfolio GHG emissions footprint. Other sectors are considered to have low or no GHG emissions impact. To avoid double counting, supplementary loans are not included. In the cases of financial intermediaries, policy-based loans, financial emergencies, and the trade finance facilitation program, adequate methodologies have not yet been developed.

Since 2006, Directive B.11 of the Bank's Environment and Safeguards Compliance Policy (OP-703) mandates calculation and reporting of GHG emissions for operations that are expected to produce significant amounts of them.

#### 2. CARBON FOOTPRINT

The carbon footprint is expressed in CO<sub>2</sub>e and consists of the GHGs and their global warming potential (GWP), as shown in Table 1. GHG emissions are determined by multiplying GHG activity data (e.g., gigajoules (GJ) electricity consumption for a furnace) with emission factors (EF) (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions per GJ/natural gas). The unit of measurement is metric tons, and all GHG emissions are converted to tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e, using the 100-year GWP factors as published in the *Fourth Assessment Report* of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 2007. This allows for the aggregation of all GHG emissions in one single indicator, expressed as the carbon footprint.

TABLE 1: GREENHOUSE GASES AND GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIALS

Emission	Chemical formula	GWP (over 100 years)	Sources
Carbon dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub>	1	Combustion processes
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub>	25	Landfills, coal mining, wastewater treatment, biomass combustion
Nitrous oxide	N <sub>2</sub> O	298	Agricultural soils and nitric acid production
Hydrofluorocarbons	_	124-14800	Substitutes for ozone-depleting substance, semiconductor manufacturing
Sulfur hexafluoride	SF <sub>6</sub>	22800	Electrical transmission and distribution
Perfluorocarbons	_	7390-12200	Substitutes for ozone-depleting substance, semiconductor manufacturing

#### 3. REPORTING GROSS AND NET FIGURES

IDB reports separate numbers for a positive (+) gross and a negative (-) net.

Positive (+) gross GHG emissions are significant scope 1 (direct) and scope 2 (associated with the offsite generation of electricity, heat, and steam used by the project and, if calculable, scope 3 (indirect emissions))  $CO_2$ e emissions in the geographic boundaries<sup>1</sup> of the financed project that are generated during the first year of full operation/production and may include construction emissions averaged over the project lifetime (WRI/ international financial institutions (IFIs) common elements).

Negative (-) net GHG reductions of the financed project are quantified relative to a baseline.

Direct emissions from within the project boundary together with the estimated emissions associated with the generation of grid electricity used by the project are included in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The project boundary may need to include associated facilities where these exist solely to serve the project. European Investment Bank reports the emissions for the whole project whether it is rehabilitation or capacity increase, resulting sometimes in wider boundaries than the project itself.

assessment. Other upstream emissions associated with the provision of materials used by the project or downstream emissions from the use of the goods and services generated by the project are not included.<sup>2</sup>

Presenting gross positive emissions and net savings numbers alongside each other allows for a holistic view of the carbon footprint of the activity of an institution. The institution is able to draw attention not only to increases in avoided emissions but also to improvements made on projects with significant emissions. It is important to highlight positive (+) gross GHG emissions figures in order to be transparent and credible and to create an awareness of high-emission projects.

#### 4. METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to analyze these investments is based on established international GHG accounting standards developed by the IPCC, the World Resources Institute (WRI), and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD).

The tools provide a way to consistently monitor projects approved by the Bank. Sixteen sectoral GHG accounting tools allow the calculation of emissions with varying levels of required data and at various project stages. The "initial review" enables quick estimates of construction and operations emissions during project preparation. This simplified approach is designed to estimate the bulk of emissions from a project using data generally available at the early stages of project development. Data-intensive methods provide more detailed methodologies for estimating construction and operational emissions once the project has been implemented and detailed data are available. For renewable energy, bioenergy, and energy efficiency projects, the tools calculate the emissions that have been avoided. The project GHG emissions are taken as the annual emissions occurring once the project has been fully implemented. Construction emissions are included by averaging them over the lifetime of the project. A detailed table with all the methodologies used appears at the end of this document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Using the definitions adopted by the GHG Protocol of the WBCSD/WRI, direct emissions are termed Scope 1, emissions from grid electricity used are Scope 2, and other upstream and downstream emissions are Scope 3.

#### 5. BASELINE FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS

Renewable energy (RE) and energy efficiency (EE) are becoming increasingly important in Latin America to help meet the growing demand for energy brought on by rapid economic growth. IDB has estimated that the region could reduce energy consumption by 10 percent over the next 10 years by increasing efficiency using existing technologies.<sup>3</sup>

For renewable energy and energy efficiency projects, IDB uses a static baseline. Static baseline emission rates such as factor for the country energy mix (national grid factor) do not change over time, while dynamic baseline emission rates modify over time to reflect relevant changes such as in the country grid, economy, etc. Due to many uncertainties about the design phase of a project as well as about the future in general, IDB chose to go with the static approach to ensure consistency in the calculations as well as transparency and traceability.

Calculations for RE projects for the IDB are usually done for new plants called Greenfield, such as new plants in the wind, solar, small hydro, or geothermal sector. The renewable energy calculation modules estimate reduced emissions by comparing the generated energy with the national grid default factor as static baseline. The national grid default factors are based on the newest International Energy Agency (IEA) data sheets. This methodology is in line with the approaches taken by the other IFIs.

Projects seeking to benefit from the Kyoto Protocol flexibility mechanisms (e.g., the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)) project and baseline emission assessments have to run a separate, more-detailed calculation based on methodologies approved under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

#### 6. BASELINE FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECTS

Compared with the Greenfield approach for RE projects, only EE is applicable for already existing plants /projects, called Brownfield.

4

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Inter-American Development Bank, Energy Efficiency, IDB Website. http://www.iadb.org/en/topics/energy/energy-efficiency,2654.html

IDB added modules to an initial set of GHG tools that calculate the avoided emissions associated with energy efficiency improvements to help quantify avoided emissions of investment projects. EE modules had been added to the cement production, power plant, steel production, wastewater, solid waste, urban development, and tourism tools. The EE calculation approach varies slightly by sector to accommodate each tool's individual methodologies and data requirements. Generally, the EE calculation modules estimate avoided emissions by comparing the pre-upgrade project configuration with the current, or post-upgrade, configuration. The calculations have two main steps. First, emission intensity is calculated for the project before and after the upgrade. The emission intensity indicates the emissions per unit of output, where output is defined as the physical output of the project, such as tons of steel or cement produced, or another indicator, such as the amount of organic waste treated by a wastewater treatment plant. The emission intensity is calculated by dividing the total emissions by the total output of a project, as illustrated in the following equation:

#### Emission Intensity = (Total Emissions)/(Total Output)

Next, the avoided emissions are calculated. Avoided emissions are emissions that would have occurred without the energy efficiency upgrades but that were avoided as a result of the upgrades. They are calculated by multiplying the change in emission intensity by the current output, as illustrated in the following equation:

### Avoided Emissions = (Intensity Before Upgrade – Intensity After Upgrade) x Output After Upgrade

The change in emission intensity and current output are used to calculate avoided emissions in order to show the benefit of the energy efficiency upgrades, regardless of whether overall emissions from a project increase as a result of an increase in total output. For example, EE upgrades at a cement plant lead to increased output and the overall emissions from the plant increase. Since the cement is now produced with fewer emissions per unit (emission intensity), the emissions that would have occurred from producing the same amount of cement at the higher emission intensity constitute the baseline.

#### 7. CONTENTS OF THIS GUIDANCE

This document contains guidance for IDB-appointed consultants or in-house practitioners on applying the IDB GHG Assessment Methodology.

The methodology outlined seeks to ensure that greenhouse gas emission assessments are carried out in a systematic manner, using data whose sources are documented and recording all assumptions and methods of calculation. However, no generalized methodology can hope to meet the needs of every project; inevitably, users will find occasion where they need to use their own professional judgment. In such instances, any assumptions made and any departures taken from the recommended methods should be recorded. As a general guide, procedures and assumptions that are accepted in the preparation of projects for CDM support will be acceptable for the assessment of IDB projects.

This methodology is intended for use on all projects for the purposes of estimating the impact of project implementation on overall GHG emissions and identifying opportunities for GHG reduction during project appraisal. For projects seeking CDM accreditation under the Kyoto Protocol mechanisms, an analysis will be required following protocols approved by the UNFCCC for such projects. These requirements are not covered by this guidance.

Recurrent methodologies such as energy consumption during construction or operation are presented only once.

#### 8. GHG EMISSIONS FROM CONSTRUCTION

Construction Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
Land Use Change  Right-of-Way (ROW) Area	This method provides a simplified default approach for estimating emissions based on the area of land cleared and IPCC default values for the amount of above and below ground carbon stocks in various types of vegetation.  Note: The Land Use Change section includes a methodology to estimate the area of the ROW cleared for the transmission line. This methodology estimates the area of ROW required for a transmission line using the length and nominal voltage of the line. Since ROW clearing does not affect below ground carbon stocks, these will not be included in land areas for ROWs.  Land Cover Type (Above biomass carbon (tC/ha) / Below biomass carbon (tC/ha)):  1. Natural Forest - Tropical rainforest (141.0/52.2) 2. Natural Forest - Tropical moist deciduous (84.6/18.6) 3. Natural Forest - Tropical scrubland (32.9/13.2) 5. Natural Forest - Tropical scrubland (32.9/13.2) 6. Natural Forest - Subtropical humid (103.4/22.7) 7. Natural Forest - Subtropical dry (61.1/25.7) 8. Natural Forest - Subtropical steppe (32.9/10.5)	$CO_{2}(t) = $ $\sum_{i} [Area \ of \ land \ cover \ type \ cleared \ (ha)$ $\times \left( EF \ Above \ Ground \ Biomass \ Carbon \ (tC/ha_{i}) \right) + EF \ Above \ Ground \ Biomass \ Carbon \ (tC/ha_{i}))] \ x^{44}/_{12}$ In case of transmission lines or other activity that do not disturb the below ground carbon stock. $CO_{2}(t) = $ $\sum_{i} Area \ of \ land \ cover \ type \ cleared \ (ha)$	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4, Table 4.12 (Natural Forest and Plantation Forest), Table 5.1 (Cropland), and Table 6.4 (Grassland). Degraded and managed pastureland factor from Brazilian Sugarcane Industry Association, Second Letter to California Air Resources Board, 04/17/2009  USDA, Rural Utilities Service, RUS BULLETIN 1724E-203, pg 6.  http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/SupportDocument s/UEP_Bulletin_1724E-203.pdf  44/12 to convert from C to CO2
	<ol> <li>Natural Forest - Subtropical mountain systems (65.8/0.0)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Temperate oceanic (84.6/18.6)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Temperate continental (56.4/14.7)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Temperate mountain systems (47.0/12.2)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Boreal coniferous (23.5/9.2)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Boreal tundra woodland (7.1/2.7)</li> <li>Natural Forest - Boreal mountain systems (14.1/5.5)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Tropical rain forest (70.5/26.1)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Tropical moist deciduous forest (56.4/12.4)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Tropical dry forest (28.2/11.8)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Tropical scrubland (14.1/5.6)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Subtropical humid forest (65.8/14.5)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Subtropical dry forest (28.2/11.8)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Subtropical steppe (14.1/4.5)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Subtropical mountain systems (42.3/0.0)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Temperate oceanic forest (75.2/16.5)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Temperate continental forest (47.0/12.2)</li> <li>Plantation Forest - Temperate mountain systems (47.0/12.2)</li> </ol>	$ imes$ EF Above Ground Biomass Carbon (tC/ha $_i$ ) $ imes$ $^{44}/_{12}$	

Construction Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	28. Plantation Forest - Boreal coniferous forest (18.8/7.3) 29. Plantation Forest - Boreal tundra woodland (7.1/2.7) 30. Plantation Forest - Boreal mountain systems (14.1/5.5) 31. Cropland - Temperate (all regions), woody biomass (63/0) 32. Cropland - Tropical dry, perennial woody biomass (9/0) 33. Cropland - Tropical moist, perennial woody biomass (21/0) 34. Cropland - Tropical wet, perennial woody biomass (50/0) 35. Cropland - Annual crops (all) (4.7/0) 36. Grassland - Boreal (dry & wet) (0.68/1.6) 37. Grassland - Cold Temperate (dry) (0.68/1.1) 38. Grassland - Cold Temperate (wet) (0.96/1.9) 39. Grassland - Warm Temperate (wet) (0.64/1.1) 40. Grassland - Warm Temperate (wet) (1.08/1.6) 41. Grassland - Tropical (dry) (0.92/1.1) 42. Grassland - Tropical (moist & wet) (2.48/0.6) 43. Settlement - Construction (0/0) 44. Managed Pasture (6.5/0) 45. Degraded Pastureland (1.3/0) Area Cleared (Hectares)		
Building Construction	Provides an integrated approach for estimating emissions from building construction activities based on the total area of the buildings or the type and number of buildings being constructed. This method accounts for the embodied GHG emissions that are created through the extraction, processing, transportation, construction, and disposal of building materials as well as emissions created through landscape disturbance (by both soil disturbance and changes in above ground biomass).    Surface area of building components   Average EF (lbs building components   CO <sub>2</sub> e/sq ft)	$CO_{2}(t) =$ $\sum_{i} Surface \ area \ of \ buildings \ component_{i} \times Surface \ area \ EF_{i}$ $CO_{2}(t) =$ $\sum_{i} Building \ type_{i} \times EF_{i}$	King County Department of Development and Environmental Services in Washington State.  Average Materials Used per Square Foot Building Space - Buildings Energy Data Book: 7.3 Typical/Average Household Materials Used in the Construction of a 2,272-Square-Foot Single-Family Home, 2000.  http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/?id=view_book_table&TableID=2036&t=xls . See also: NAHB, 2004 Housing Facts, Figures and Trends, Feb. 2004, p. 7.  CO 2 e Emission Factors - Athena EcoCalculator, Athena Assembly Evaluation Tool v2.3- Vancouver Low Rise Building Assembly, Average Emissions (kg) per square meter.  http://www.athenasmi.ca/tools/ecoCalculator/index.html  Residential Floor Space per Unit - 2001 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (National Average, 2001), Square footage measurements and comparisons. http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/recs/sqftmeasure.html  Commercial Floor Space per Unit - EIA, 2003 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey

Construction Emissions	Description / Inp	ut Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	Food Service Health Care Lodging Mercantile Retail (Other Than Mall) Enclosed and Strip Malls Office Public Assembly Public Order and Safety Religious Worship Service Warehouse and Storage Other	5,569.0 24,519.4 35,887.3 17,035.0 9,744.9 32,277.0 14,815.5 14,220.2 15,352.1 10,145.9 6,511.3 16,881.1 22,000.0	$CO_2$ (t) = Annual amount of electricity generated (kWh/yr) $\times$ $EF_i$ RE construction $\div$ 1000000	(National Average, 2003). Table C3. Consumption and Gross Energy Intensity for Sum of Major Fuels for Non-Mall Buildings, 2003.  http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cbecs/cbecs2003/detailed tables 2003/2003set9/2003excel/c3.xls  Average Window Size - Energy Information Administration/Housing Characteristics 1993.  Appendix B, Quality of the Data. Pg. 5. ftp://ftp.eia.doe.gov/pub/consumption/residential/rx9 3hcf.pdf  For renewable energy projects, life cycle GHG emission analysis of power generation systems: Japanese case, Hiroki Hondo 2005
		ect on an annual basis.  Construction of		http://campus.udayton.edu/~physics/rjb/PHY3 99Winter2007/Hondo%20- %20GHG%20LCA%20for%20power%20gen eration.pdf
Road Pavement	method for estimating emissions Four recent life cycle assessment roads form the basis for the per u Each study is constructed in sligl aggregate results of the reports re GHG emissions that are created in materials, construction-related en pavement over its expected life of EF per square meter (sqm) = 182	epresent a reasonable estimate of the from the manufacture of paving missions, and maintenance of the ycle.	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) = Road constructed (sqm) $\mathbf{x}$ EF (182.99 per sqm)  OR  Road rehabilitated (sqm) $\mathbf{x}$ EF (8.88 for sqm)	King County Department of Development and Environmental Services in Washington State.  The methodology is based on four studies:  Meil, J. A Life Cycle Perspective on Concrete and Asphalt Roadways: Embodied Primary Energy and Global Warming Potential. 2006.  Park, K, Hwang, Y., Seo, S., M.ASCE, and Seo, H., "Quantitative Assessment of Environmental Impacts on Life Cycle of Highways," Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol 129, January/February 2003, pp 25-31, (DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2003)129:1(25)).
	(Washington State) Department of Services: SEPA GHG Emissions method calculates the emissions repair of the highway. For the Ki square feet of pavement was used	of Development and Environmental Worksheet, Version 1.7 12/26/07. This from downstream maintenance and ng County project, 33 MTCO <sub>2</sub> e/1000 d to compute emissions from road 0-year life of the road. This method		□ Stripple, H. Life Cycle Assessment of Road. A Pilot Study for Inventory Analysis. Second Revised Edition. IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute Ltd. 2001. □ Treloar, G., Love, P.E.D., and Crawford, R.H. Hybrid Life-Cycle Inventory for Road Construction

Construction Emissions	Descr	iption / I	nput Dat	a Requir	ements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	utilizes an equiv square feet/year meters. EF per square m	. The square	feet numbers				and Use. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. P. 43-49. January/February 2004.
Purchased Energy Consumption  (Electricity Use and Fuel Consumption)		se in project of s. Custom em values for fu	construction of ission factors iels may also	or activities less can be used be used to p	rovide a more	Annual amount of electricity used (MWh/year) <b>X</b> EF country or regional electricity grid (based on latest IEA statistics)  OR	Electricity Consumption - IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition  Fuel Use - US EPA, Climate Leaders, Greenhouse Gas Inventory Protocol Core Module Guidance, 2008. <a href="http://www.epa.gov/stateply/">http://www.epa.gov/stateply/</a>
	Fossil Fuel Type Natural Gas Diesel Heavy Fuel	Units  MMBtu  MMBtu  MMBtu	CO <sub>2</sub> EF KgCO <sub>2</sub> / MMBtu 53.28 74.25	CH <sub>4</sub> EF gCH <sub>4</sub> /M MBtu 0.01236 1.00	N <sub>2</sub> O EF gN <sub>2</sub> O/M MBtu 0.0093441 0.10	MMBtu $EF_i$ ] ÷ 1000 + [Fossil fuel type in (MMBtu/yr) × g CH4 $EF_i$ × 25 + Fossilfuel type in (MMBtu/yr) × g N20 $EF_i$ × 298] ÷ 1000000	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. The IPCC values were originally on a NCV (LHV) basis and have been converted to a GCV (HHV) basis using rule of thumb. Gross calorific (higher heating) values are preferred because they are more closely related to the carbon content of
Emissions from	Oil LPG/Propane	MMBtu	59.89	3.00	0.60	CO (A) -	fuels than net calorific (lower heating) values.
vehicles and equipment used during construction  Same method applies to vehicles and equipment used during operation	equipment. This vehicles that are these emissions methodology de emissions from use or by type of available. Defail and custom emissions equipment.	s method is use not associate are captured scribed above mobile source f vehicle and ult CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> ssion factors	sed for any c ed with the co in the Integra e. This meth es based on t total miles tr , and $N_2O$ em	onstruction on onstruction on ted Building od estimates he type and a veled if fue dission factor	of buildings since g Construction fuel use amount of fuel el use data are not rs are provided	$CO_{2}(t) = \sum_{i} Annual fuel energy input (l/yr)_{i} \times fuel Co2 EF_{i}$	Fuel Consumption Emission Factors - Source: Energy Information Administration, Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2003, (May 2005), p.189, web site: www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentati on/pdf/0638(2003).pdf.  Emission factors for biodiesel blends were calculated using the net (lower) heating
Fuel consumption method (stationary combustion)	Type of fuel used:  kg GHG/Liter  Aviation Gasoline  Biodiesel/Biodiesel Blend  B 100  B 20  B 10  B 10  B 20  C 2.12  B 10  B 5  D 2.39  B 5						value for biodiesel from National Biodiesel Board web site:  www.biodiesel.org/pdf_files/fuelfactsheets/BT  U_Content_Final_Oct2005.pdf. The gross (higher) heating value was assumed to be 5% higher than the net heating value (see Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Reference Manual, p. 1.5, web site: www.ipcc-

Construction Emissions	Description	/ Input D	ata Requi	rements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
OR  Vehicle Miles Traveled Data	B 2 Diesel Fuel (No. 1, 2) Ethanol/Ethanol Blen E 100 E 85 E 10 Motor Gasoline Jet Fuel, Kerosene Propane Residual Fuel (No. 5, LNG LPG Methanol CNG	ds		2.60 2.65 0.00 0.35 2.09 2.32 2.50 1.51 3.09 1.17 1.51 1.07	OR Vehicle Miles Traveled Data $CO_{2}(t) =$	nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs6.htm)  Emission factors for ethanol blends were calculated using the higher heating value for ethanol from U.S. Department of Energy, Alternative Transportation Fuel Data Center, web site:  www.eere.energy.gov/afdc/pdfs/fueltable.pdf  Fraction combusted is assumed to be 99 percent.  Vehicle Miles Traveled Default Factors  Ø CO <sub>2</sub> Factors - WRI/ WBCSD
	Type of vehicle and total				$\sum_{i} [Total \ distance \ traveled \ by \ verhicle \ type \ (km/yr) \times gCo2 \ vehicle \ type \ EF_i \ + +$ $(Total \ distance \ traveld \ by \ vehicle \ type \ (km/yr) \times$	Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative. Average HWY/CITY and small/medium/large vehicle sizes- http://www.ghgprotocol.org/calculationtools (mobile)
	CO2 Emissions   g CO2/mile   gCH4/mile   g N2O/mile				gCH4 vehicle type EF $_i$ × 25) + (Total distance traveled by vehicle type(km/yr) × gN20 vehicle type EF $_i$ × 298)] $\div$ 1000000	
SF6 emissions from installed electrical	Estimates SF <sub>6</sub> emissions the installation of electric		_	re sources during	$SF_6$ (kg/yr) = $SF_6$ nameplate capacity for equipment installed during	The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0

Construction Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
equipment	EF for equipment installed during project construction = 0.15	construction (kg) <b>x</b> EF SF6 equipment installment (0.15)	http://www.theclimateregistry.org/

#### 9. GHG EMISSIONS FROM ONE FULL YEAR OF OPERATION

	Agricultural Sector: Livestock												
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source										
Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) Emissions from Fertilizer Use	Estimates emissions occurring from the use of nitrogen-based fertilizer based on the amount of fertilizer used and emission factors for the amount of $N_2O$ per unit of nitrogen. $N_2O\left(kg\right) = \text{direct } N_2O \text{ emissions} + \text{volatization emissions} + \text{runoff emissions}$	N <sub>2</sub> O (kg) =  Percent nitrogen (N) in amount of fertilizer (kg/yr) <b>X</b> EF  direct (0.9) x kg N <sub>2</sub> O / kg N (0.02)  +  Percent nitrogen (N) in amount of fertilizer (kg/yr) <b>X</b> EF  volatization (0.1) <b>X</b> kg N <sub>2</sub> O / kg N (0.016)  +  Percent nitrogen (N) in amount of fertilizer (t/yr) <b>X</b> EF  runoffs (0.3) <b>X</b> kg N <sub>2</sub> O / kg N (0.008)	Environmental Protection Agency. 2009. Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2007. Chapter 6.  http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html										
Emissions from lime and dolomite application	Limestone and dolomite are sometimes added by land managers to ameliorate acidification. When these compounds come in contact with acid soils, they degrade, thereby generating CO <sub>2</sub> . The methodology estimates emissions from lime application based on the amount of limestone or dolomite applied and a default emission factor. Custom emission factors may be entered if available.  Default Factors for Limestone and Dolomite	$CO_2$ (t) = Amount of limestone applied (t/yr)) ${\bf x}$ EF limestone carbon fraction (0.12) ${\bf x}$ 44/12 $CO_2$ (t) =	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4, Section 11.3.1 (based on equation 11.12) 44/12 (to convert CO <sub>2</sub> –C emissions into CO <sub>2</sub> )										

				,	Agricult	ural Sector: Livestock	
Operational Emissions	Descri	ption / Inp	out Data	Requireme	ents	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	Applications ( Limestone Dolomite	fraction carbo	on)	0.12 0.13		Amount of dolomite applied (t/yr) <b>x</b> EF <i>dolomite carbon</i> fraction (0.13) <b>x</b> 44/12	
Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from burning sugarcane crops	Estimates emissi amount of crop p  Default Factor Dry mass burne harvested Combustion Fa CH <sub>4</sub> Emission N <sub>2</sub> O Emission	rs for Sugarca ed per unit moi actor (fraction)	ne Burning st	•		CH <sub>4</sub> (t) = Annual crop production (t/yr) x fraction burned annually x amount of dry mass burned per unit sugar cane moist harvested (0.20863) x EF $gCH_{s}/kg$ burned (2.7) $\div$ 1000 + N <sub>2</sub> O (t) = Annual Crop Production (t/yr) <b>X</b> fraction burned annually <b>X</b> amount of dry mass burned per unit sugar cane moist harvested (0.20863) <b>X</b> EF $gN_2O/kg$ burned (0.07) $\div$ 1000	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4, Tables 2.5 (CH $_4$ and N $_2$ O from "Agricultural Residues") and Table 2.6 Dry mass burned per unit moist harvested from RSB GHG Calculation Methodology, RSB-STD-01-003-01 (Version 2.0), pg. 73
Emissions from livestock	Estimates $CH_4$ emissions from enteric fermentation and both $CH_4$ and nitrous oxide $N_2O$ emissions from livestock manure management systems based on animal type, using default emission factors. Sitespecific factors may be used if available.    Type of					$CH_{4}\left(t\right) = \\ \sum_{i} Number\ of\ heads\ of\ lifestock_{i}\ \times EF_{i}animal\ mass \\ \times EF_{i}\ kg\ CH4\ per\ head\ per\ year \\ \div 1000 \\ + \\ N_{2}O\left(t\right) = \\ \sum_{i} Number\ of\ heads\ of\ lifestock_{i} \\ \times EF_{i}\ annual\ kgN\ per\ animal \\ \times tN2O/tN\ \div 1000 \\ \\$	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4, Chapter 10.  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/4_Volume4 /V4_10_Ch10_Livestock.pdf

	Agricultural Sector: Livestock										
Operational Emissions	Descri	ption / Inp	out Data	Requireme	ents	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
	Mules and Asses	10	130	17.79	0.01						

	Energy Sector: Fossil Fuel Power Plants												
Operational Emissions	Description	on / Input Da	ta Requiren	nents	Calculation Method	Methodology Source							
Stationary Combustion	Estimates emissions fi quantity and type of fi oxidation fraction. Do content, and oxidation be entered for these in If quantity of fuel used CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Fact Combustion	nel used, heat contefault values are pro- fraction based on puts.	ent, carbon content rovided for heat confuel type. Custon the heat rate equation	nt, and ontent, carbon n values may	$CO_2 (t) =$ Annual amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr) <b>X</b> Plant Heat Rate (MMBtu/MWh) <b>X</b> EF $CO_2$ content coefficient (kg $CO_2$ /MMBtu) <b>X</b> EF fraction oxidized $\div 1000$ + $CH_4 (t) =$	US EPA, Climate Leaders, Greenhouse Gas Inventory Protocol Core Module Guidance, 2008  http://epa.gov/climateleaders/  Note the ration of the molecular weights of CO <sub>2</sub> and C (44/12 or 3.664) have been already factored in the CO <sub>2</sub> coefficient							
	Fossil Fuel  Coal and Coke Anthracite Bituminous Sub-Bituminous Lignite Unspecified (industrial coking) Unspecified (industrial other) Unspecified (electric utility)	Heat Content (HHV) (MMBtu/short ton) 25.09 24.93 17.25 14.21 26.27 22.05	CO <sub>2</sub> Content Coefficient (kg CO <sub>2</sub> /MMBtu) 103.62 93.46 97.09 96.43 93.72 93.98	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Annual amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ Plant Heat Rate (MMBtu/MWh) $\mathbf{X}$ EF $CH_4$ $g/MMBtu$ ) $\div 1000000$ + $N_2O$ (t) = Annual amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ Plant Heat Rate (MMBtu/MWh) $\mathbf{X}$ EF $N_2O$ $g/MMBtu$ $\div 1000000$								

	Energy Sector: Fossil Fuel Power Plants							
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements				Calculation Method	Methodology Source		
	Unspecified (residential/comm ercial) Coke  Natural Gas_ Natural Gas  Petroleum Distillate Fuel Oil (#1, 2, & 4) Residual Fuel Oil (#5 & 6) Kerosene Petroleum Coke LPG (average for fuel use)  Coal and Coke Petroleum Natural gas	22.05 24.80 (Btu/scf) 1029.00 (MMBtu/Barr el) 5.825 6.287 5.67 6.024 3.8492	95.33 113.67 (kg CO <sub>2</sub> /MMBtu) 53.06 (kg CO <sub>2</sub> /MMBtu) 73.15 78.8 72.31 102.12 63.16	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount of fuel used (t/yr)  ÷ 0.907 to convert to short tons  × heat content (HHV) factor <sub>i</sub> (MMBtu/unit fuel)  ÷ amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr)			
Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Sorbent Emissions	Estimates emissions that occur from the use of limestone and/or soda ash in FGD systems if they are installed at the plant. Emissions are calculated based on the amount of limestone and/or soda ash used.			ons are	$CO_2$ (t) =  Quantity limestone consumption (t/yr) <b>X</b> (44/100) + quantity soda ash consumption (t/yr) <b>X</b> (44/106)	US EIA, Technical Guidelines Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases (1605(b)) Program. http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/gdlins.html		
Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions	Estimates emissions of combustion based on emissions factors. Cu of methane and nitrou accurately, but fortunate	the energy content astom emission fact as oxide from comb	of the fuel used and ors may be entered. ustion are difficult to	default Emissions	$CH_4 (t) =$ Annual amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr) <b>X</b> plant heat rate (MMBtU/MWh) or (GJ/MWh) <b>X</b> EF $g$ $CH_4/(MMBtu/MWh) \ or \ (GJ/MWh) \ / \ 10000$	US EIA, Technical Guidelines Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases (1605(b)) Program.  http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/gdlins.html		

Energy Sector: Fossil Fuel Power Plants						
Operational Emissions			Calculation Method	Methodology Source		
	Coal and Coke Petroleum Natural gas	g CH <sub>4</sub> /MMBtu  1  3 1	g N <sub>2</sub> O /MMBtu  1.6  0.6  0.1		+ $N_2O(t) =$ Annual amount of electricity produced (MWh/yr) <b>X</b> Plant Heat Rate (MMBtU/MWh) or (GJ/MWh) <b>X</b> EF $g N_2O(MMBtu/MWh)$ or $(GJ/MWh)/10000$	

	Energy Sector: Renewable Energy (Solar, Wind, Hydro, Geothermal)						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
Avoided Electricity Use (for all types of RE)	Estimates emissions avoided from electricity generation during operation of each renewable project type.	Net (-) CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount of electricity generated (kWh/yr) <b>X</b> expected losses/station use (fraction) <b>X</b> EF <i>country electricity grid</i> (based on latest IEA statistics)	Electricity Emission Factor Source: IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition http://www.iea.org/publications/				
Maintenance Emission Factors (for all types of RE)	Provides emission factors to calculate emissions associated with the maintenance of renewable energy projects.  Default Emission Factors for Maintenance of Renewable Energy Projects (g- CO <sub>2</sub> /kWh)  Solar 12.3  Wind 8.3	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount of electricity generated (kWh/yr) <b>X</b> EF  maintenance of RE projects / 1000000	Life cycle GHG emission analysis of power generation systems: Japanese case, Hiroki Hondo 2005  http://campus.udayton.edu/~physics/rjb/PHY399Wint er2007/Hondo%20-%20GHG%20LCA%20for%20power%20generation.pdf				

Energy Sector: Renewable Energy (Solar, Wind, Hydro, Geothermal)						
Operational Emissions			Calculation Method	Methodology Source		
	Hydroelectric Geothermal	1.9 9.7				
Transmission and Distribution (T&D) of Electricity - Line Losses short version (for all types of RE)	For RE projects that include transmission with/withou default T&D loss factor is provided and is currently se average.  Default Transmission/Distribution Line Loss Factor Default Transmission Factor Default Transmission and Distribution Factor	et to the U.S.	With distribution $CO_2$ (t) = Electricity transmitted through system annually (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF country electricity grid $\mathbf{X}$ loss factor (0.056) Without distribution $CO_2$ (t) = Electricity transmitted through system annually (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF country electricity grid $\mathbf{X}$ loss factor (0.02)	Default loss factor: The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0 http://www.theclimateregistry.org/		
Fugitive Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) Losses from Transmission Equipment (for all types of RE) Method 3	Fugitive Emissions of SF <sub>6</sub> : Method 3, EIA SF <sub>6</sub> Fugitive Calculation Method. Estimates fugitive SF <sub>6</sub> emissions length of transmission lines and a default fugitive emissions.  Default Fugitive SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions Factor (kg-SF <sub>6</sub> /km Small Utilities (less than 16,093 transmission kilometers)  Large Utilities (more than 16,093 transmission kilometers)	based on total ssion factor.	$SF_6$ (kg) = Length of Transmission Lines (km) <b>X</b> EF fugitive SF6	The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0  http://www.theclimateregistry.org/		
Fugitive CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> (Geothermal Only)	Average Mass Fraction of CH <sub>4</sub> in produced	7.8 %	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual quantity of steam produced (t/yr) <b>x</b> EF fraction of non-condensable gases (97.8)  +  CH <sub>4</sub> (t) =  Annual quantity of steam produced (t/yr) <b>x</b> EF fraction of non-condensable gases (0.18)	INEEL, http://www.osti.gov/bridge/servlets/purl/10996 -pK1dMM/10996.pdf		

#### **Energy Sector: Transmission Line**

Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
Transmission Line Losses	Estimates emissions from electricity consumption from line losses based on the amount of energy flowing into the transmission line, the country and fuel type of the generation source, and a default line loss factor of 2 percent. If the amount of energy into AND out of the line is known, that can be used to calculate a more accurate value. A custom loss factor may be entered if available.    Default Transmission/Distribution Line Loss Factor   2.0	CO $_2$ (t) =  Electricity transmitted through system annually (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF country electricity grid $\mathbf{X}$ difference of energy flow IN and OUT of system in %  OR  With distribution CO $_2$ (t) =  Electricity transmitted through system annually (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF country electricity grid $\mathbf{X}$ loss factor (0.056)  Without distribution CO $_2$ (t) =  Electricity transmitted through system annually (MWh/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF country electricity grid $\mathbf{X}$ loss factor (0.02)	Country-specific electricity emission factors: IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition  http://www.iea.org/publications/  Default loss factor: The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0  http://www.theclimateregistry.org/
Fugitive Emissions of SF <sub>6</sub> : Method 1, EPA Emissions Quantification Method	Estimates fugitive emissions of $SF_6$ from electrical equipment during operation of transmission and distribution systems based on inventory, acquisition, disbursement, and equipment capacity. This is the most accurate method of calculating $SF_6$ emissions but requires the most data inputs.	$SF_6 \ (kg) =$ Decrease in inventory (SF $_6$ contained in cylinders, NOT electrical equipment) (kg SF $_6$ /yr) within one year + Purchases/Acquisitions of SF $_6$ - Sales/Disbursements of SF $_6$ - Increase in Nameplate Capacity	The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0 http://www.theclimateregistry.org/
Fugitive Emissions of SF <sub>6</sub> : Method 2, IPCC Good Practice Guidance 2000 Default Factors	Estimates fugitive $SF_6$ emissions based on nameplate capacity of $SF_6$ by equipment type and default emission factors. Custom emission factors may be entered if available.           Equipment Type per $SF_6$ capacity (kg $SF_6$ )       Default EF $SF_6$ Existing equipment       2.0%	SF <sub>6</sub> (kg) =  New nameplate capacity for SF <sub>6</sub> by equipment type <b>x</b> EF Sf6  existing equipment (0.02)  +  Retiring nameplate capacity for SF <sub>6</sub> by equipment type <b>x</b> EF SF6  retiring equipment (0.95)	IPCC Good Practice Guidance 2000  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gp/english/

Energy Sector: Transmission Line						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements		Calculation Method	Methodology Source		
	Retiring equipment	95.0%				
Fugitive Emissions of SF <sub>6</sub> : Method 3, EIA SF <sub>6</sub> Fugitive Emissions Calculation Method	f SF <sub>6</sub> : Method 3, IA SF <sub>6</sub> Fugitive missions  In SF <sub>6</sub> Fugitive missions  Default Fugitive SF <sub>6</sub> Fugicions Feater (leg SF <sub>6</sub> / leg)		$SF_6$ (kg) = Length of Transmission Lines (km) <b>x</b> $EF$ fugitive $SF6$	The Climate Registry: 2009 Electric Power Sector Protocol v1.0  http://www.theclimateregistry.org/		
	transmission kilometers)  Large Utilities (more than 16,093 transmission kilometers)	0.58				

	Energy Sector: Bioenergy Plants							
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source					
Co-Product: Glycerin produced during biodiesel conversion process	This method is based on the US EPA's treatment of glycerin in the Renewable Fuels Standard. The US EPA uses an energy equivalent amount of residual oil as a simplifying assumption to reflect the midrange of possible glycerin uses in terms of GHG credits. EPA believes that this is an appropriate representation of GHG reduction credit across the possible range of uses without necessarily biasing the results toward high or low GHG impact. This method uses an emission factor for residual oil from the US DOE.  Default Factors for Glycerin (Co-product credit emission factor) kg CO <sub>2</sub> / liters  Glycerin 3115	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) = Annual liters of Glycerin produced (l/yr) x EF <i>Glycerin / 1000</i>	US EPA, Renewable Fuels Standard (RFS) http://www.epa.gov/otaq/fuels/renewablefuels/index.htm Emission Factor: US DOE, Energy Information Administration (EIA). http://205.254.135.7/oiaf/1605/coefficients.html					
Co-Product: Surplus Electricity Production	Estimates avoided emissions resulting from surplus biofuel produced electricity delivered to the grid. This electricity displaces grid-produced electricity that would otherwise be purchased to meet energy needs.	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =	Electricity Emission Factor Source: IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition					

Energy Sector: Bioenergy Plants						
Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
The methodology uses default country-specific IEA grid electricity factors.	Annual surplus electricity delivered to the grid (kWh/yr) $\times$ EF $_i$ country electricity grid	http://www.iea.org/publications/free_new_Des c.asp?PUBS_ID=2143				
Carbon debt is calculated by dividing the annual savings in emissions from biofuel use by the amount of carbon released during the production of the biofuel crop.	${ m CO_2}$ (t) annual emissions reductions = (Annual amount of biofuel produced $(l/yr) \times$	Adapted from Land Clearing and the Biofuel Carbon Debt, Joseph Fargione, et al., Science 319, 1235 (2008)				
Carbon Debt Payoff Time=(Carbon Released During Conversion)/(Annual Biofuel Carbon Savings)  The annual emissions savings used for the calculation are based on the operational emissions because that is what is paying off the construction emissions. For example, if ethanol from a sugarcane project reduces CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by 300,000 tons each year, but the initial production of the biofuel releases 3 million tons of CO <sub>2</sub> , that project's carbon debt	$EF_i$ reference fuel $\div$ $1000$ ) – (construction emissions $\div$ lifetime of plant (default 20 years)) – operational emissions + co-product credits $CO_2$ (t) carbon payoff time =	http://www.atmos.washington.edu/2009Q1/11 1/Readings/Fargione2008_biofuel_land- clearing.pdf				
would be 10 years.  REFERENCE FUEL EMISSION FACTORS (kg CO <sub>2</sub> /liter)  Reference Fuel Emission Factor Gasoline 3.12 Diesel 3.53	Construction emissions $\div$ [EF $_{\rm i}$ reference fuel $-$ (Annual operational emissions $\div$ annual biofuel produced $(l/yr) \times 1000) \times$ (annual biofuel produced $\div 1000) + co-$ product credits]					
	Description / Input Data Requirements  The methodology uses default country-specific IEA grid electricity factors.  Carbon debt is calculated by dividing the annual savings in emissions from biofuel use by the amount of carbon released during the production of the biofuel crop.  Carbon Debt Payoff Time=(Carbon Released During Conversion)/(Annual Biofuel Carbon Savings)  The annual emissions savings used for the calculation are based on the operational emissions because that is what is paying off the construction emissions. For example, if ethanol from a sugarcane project reduces CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by 300,000 tons each year, but the initial production of the biofuel releases 3 million tons of CO <sub>2</sub> , that project's carbon debt would be 10 years.  REFERENCE FUEL EMISSION FACTORS ( kg CO <sub>2</sub> /liter)  Reference Fuel Emission Factor Gasoline 3.12	Description / Input Data RequirementsCalculation MethodThe methodology uses default country-specific IEA grid electricity factors.Annual surplus electricity delivered to the grid (kWh/yr) × EF <sub>i</sub> country electricity gridCarbon debt is calculated by dividing the annual savings in emissions from biofuel use by the amount of carbon released during the production of the biofuel crop. $CO_2$ (t) annual emissions reductions = (Annual amount of biofuel produced (l/yr) × EF <sub>i</sub> reference fuel $\div$ 1000) - (construction emissions $\div$ 1000) - (construction emissions $\div$ 1000) - (construction emissions because that is what is paying off the construction emissions. For example, if ethanol from a sugarcane project reduces $CO_2$ emissions by 300,000 tons each year, but the initial production of the biofuel releases 3 million tons of $CO_2$ , that project's carbon debt would be 10 years.Lifetime of plant (default 20 years)) - operational emissions + co-product creditsREFERENCE FUEL EMISSION FACTORS (kg $CO_3$ /liter)Construction emissions $\div$ [EF $_1$ reference fuel - (Annual operational emissions $\div$ annual biofuel produced (l/yr) × 1000) × (annual biofuel produced $\div$ 1000) + co - product credits]				

	Industry Sector: Cement Plants						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				

	Industry Sector: Cement Plants							
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements			Calculation Method	Methodology Source			
Stationary Combustion Fuel Use	Estimates emissions from the burning of fossil fuels at stationary combustion equipment located at the project site based on the type and quantity of fuel used. Custom emissions factors for each fuel may be entered if available.			CO <sub>2</sub> (t) = Annual amount clinker used (t/yr) <b>X</b> plant efficiency (unit energy / unit clinker produced MJ or MMBtu) <b>X</b> EF <i>fuel type used in clinker production</i> / 1000	Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. The IPCC values were originally on a NCV (LHV) basis and have been converted to a GCV (HHV) basis using rule of thumb. Gross calorific (higher heating) values are preferred because they are more closely related to the carbon content of fuels than net calorific (lower heating) values.  http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html			
Process Emissions from the Production of Clinker: Cement Method, User- Specific Production Data	Estimates process emissions based on the quantity of cement produced, clinker content of the cement, raw material to clinker ratio, and lime content (CaO). Default values for clinker content are provided or a custom value can be entered.    Clinker Composition Default Values   Ratio to CO2		CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount cement produced (t/yr) <b>X</b> percentage of clinker ratio/100 <b>X</b> EF calcium oxide (CaO – lime) content of clinker (0.65) <b>X</b> 44/56  +  Annual amount cement produced (t/yr) <b>X</b> percentage of clinker ratio/100 <b>X</b> EF Magnesium Oxide (MgO)content of clinker (0.015) <b>X</b> Molecular ratio of CO <sub>2</sub> to MgO (1.092)	These method derives from the following sources:  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (1997), web site: www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs1.htm  World Resources Institute/World Business Council for Sustainable Development, Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative (2001), web site: www.ghgprotocol.org  44/56 (Molecular ratio of CO <sub>2</sub> to CaO (0.785)				

	Industry Sector: Iron and Steel Plants						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements  Estimates total emissions from all aspects of iron and steel production at an integrated facility using a single emission factor. A default factor is provided, but a custom factor may be entered if available.  CO2 EMISSION FACTORS FOR IRON & STEEL PRODUCTION MT CO2t of steel  Steel and iron making method  Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF)  Electric Arc Furnace (EAF)  Open Hearth Furnace (OHF)  Global Average Factor (65% BOF, 30% EAF, 5% OHF)*		Calculation Method	Methodology Source			
Emissions from Steel Production: Integrated Steel Mill Approach			$CO_2$ (t) = Annual steel produced (t/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF steel and iron making methods	IPCC, Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2006, pp. 4.27, 4.25.  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html			
Emissions from Steel Production: Process-Based Approach	roduction: reducing agents, flux, and other materials and it uses material-specific emission factors.		CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount of limestone used (t/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF limestone (0.12) $\mathbf{X}$ 3.664 (44/12)  +  Annual amount of dolomite used (t/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF dolomite (0.13) $\mathbf{X}$ 3.664 (44/12)  + $\sum_{i}$ Annual mass reducing agents used (t/yr) $\times$ EF <sub>i</sub> process materials $\times$ 44/ <sub>12</sub> -  Annual amount of steel produced (t/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ EF steel production (0.1) $\mathbf{X}$ 3.664 (44/12)  -  Annual amount of iron production not converted to steel	IPCC, Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2006, Volume 3, Chapter 4.  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or,jp/public/2006gl/vol3.html			

Industry Sector: Iron and Steel Plants						
Operational Emissions			Calculation Method	Methodology Source		
	Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) Hot Briquetted Iron Purchased Pig Iron Scrap Iron Steel	0.02 0.02 0.04 0.04 0.01	(t/yr) <b>X</b> EF direct reduced iron (0.02) x 3.664 (44/12)			

	Industry Sector: Oil and Gas Systems						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
Fugitive Emissions from Oil and Gas Operations	Calculates the amount of fugitive emissions from oil and gas operations using the volume of product. It includes CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , and N <sub>2</sub> O emissions. It covers a wide range of oil and gas processes including production, processing, and transportation.  Emission factors for fugitive emissions from oil and gas include venting and flaring. Table was too large to include here.	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual Volume (m3/yr) from oil and gas process $\mathbf{X}$ EF $CO_2$ ( $kg/m3$ )/1000 +  CH <sub>4</sub> (t) =  Annual Volume (m3/yr) from oil and gas process $\mathbf{X}$ EF $CH_4$ ( $kg/m3$ )/1000 +  N <sub>2</sub> O (t) =  Annual Volume (m3/yr) from oil and gas process $\mathbf{X}$ EF $N_2O$ ( $kg/m3$ )/1000	IPCC 2006 Guidelines, Volume 2, Chapter 4, Table 4.2.5. http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2_Volume2/V2_4_Ch4_Fugitive_Emissions.pdf				

#### **Transport Sector: Airports**

Operational Emissions	Description / Default emission factors	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
Emissions from Pavement Maintenance Activities	Roads require periodic maintenance to repair potholes, cracks, and other deformations and keep them in safe working order. These maintenance activities generate emissions primarily through fuel consumption of maintenance vehicles and equipment and use of concrete or asphalt for resurfacing. This method calculates the emissions from downstream maintenance and repair of the highway.	See calculation in construction section.	This method is adopted from the King County (Washington State) Department of Development and Environmental Services: SEPA GHG Emissions Worksheet, Version 1.7 12/26/07.
Emissions from Vehicle Traffic	Estimates CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , and N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from vehicle traffic on the new roadway. This method estimates fuel use emissions from mobile sources based on the type and amount of fuel use or by type of vehicle and total miles traveled if fuel use data are not available.	See calculations in construction section.	See methodology in construction section.
Emissions from Electricity Consumption of Airport Lighting and Beacons	Electricity consumption of road lighting and traffic signals generates indirect emissions. This method estimates these indirect emissions based on annual electricity consumption.	$CO_{2}\left(t\right) =$ $Total \ annual \ electricity \ used \ by \ airport \ lighting \ and \ beacons \ (kWh/yr) \ \boldsymbol{x} \ EF \ country \ electricity \ grid \ (based \ on \ latest \ IEA \ statistics)$	
Emissions from Aircraft Landing and Takeoff (LTO) Cycles	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline Domestic flights: \\ \hline \textbf{GHG} & \textbf{Default EF} \\ \hline \textbf{kg/LTO} \\ \hline \hline \textbf{CO}_2 & 2,680 \\ \hline \textbf{CH}_4 & 0.3 \\ \hline \textbf{N}_2\textbf{O} & 0.1 \\ \hline \hline \textbf{International flights:} \\ \hline \textbf{GHG} & \textbf{Default EF} \\ \hline \textbf{kg/LTO} \\ \hline \hline \textbf{CO}_2 & 7,900 \\ \hline \textbf{CH}_4 & 1.5 \\ \hline \textbf{N}_2\textbf{O} & 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$CO_2(t) =$ $\sum_{i} [Number\ of\ flights\ that\ depart\ annually$ $\times \left(EF_iCO2 + (EF_i\ CH4\ \times 25) + (EF_i\ N2O\ \times 298\ )\right)_i\ ] \div 1000$	

#### **Transport Sector: Canals and Ports**

Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
Emissions from Fuel Consumption by Tugboat Fleet	Estimates emissions from fuel use by tugboats based on type and amount of fuel used.	$CO_2$ (t) = Annual fuel used by tugboat fleet during operation of lock system (gallons or liters/yr) $\mathbf{x}$ EF	Mobile Sources:  CO <sub>2</sub> Factors - WRI/ WBCSD Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative. Average HWY/CITY and small/medium/large vehicle sizes- http://www.ghgprotocol.org/calculation-tools (mobile)  CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O Factors - EPA Climate Leaders: http://www.epa.gov/stateply
Emissions from Increased Canal Traffic	Estimates emissions from increased canal traffic based on fuel consumption by ships. This is considered a Scope 3 emission source, however, it is included here since it represents a significant source of ongoing operational emissions from a project of this type.	$CO_2\left(t\right) =$ Annual fuel used from shipping activity (l/yr) $\mathbf{X}$ associated emission factor + $CH_4\left(t\right) =$ specific fuel consumption factor (efficiency factor) $\mathbf{X}$ activity $\mathbf{X}$ associated emission factor $CH_4$ + $N_2O\left(t\right) =$ Specific fuel consumption factor (efficiency factor) $\mathbf{X}$ activity $\mathbf{X}$ associated emission factor $N_2O$	US EPA Climate Leaders, Mobile Combustion Sources - Guidance, May 2008. Table A-6. http://epa.gov/climateleaders/

Transport Sector: Road Construction and Rehabilitation						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source			

Transport Sector: Road Construction and Rehabilitation							
Operational Emissions	Description /	Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source			
Emissions from Road Maintenance Activities	See calculation and metho	odology in the construction section.					
Emissions from Electricity Consumption of Street Lighting		electricity use by street lighting and umed to be a very small source of	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual amount of electricity used (MWh/yr) <b>x</b> EF  country electricity grid (based on latest IEA statistics)	IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition http://www.iea.org/publications/			
Emissions from Vehicle Traffic (Scope 3) Specifically for rural roads Differentiated between: 1. New rural roads 2. Rehabilitated rural roads	using new or improved ro established transport emi Asian Development Bank three distinct categories of improved road: • Vehicles using the exist (existing) • Vehicles that shifted to roads (existing)	0.25 roads 0.8 conomy adjustment:	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  [CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (t/yr) of existing traffic before the project (road itself (only in case of rehabilitation) +shifted VKT from alternate routes)]  -  [CO <sub>2</sub> emission (t/yr) of traffic from new/rehabilitated road (road itself + induced traffic)  To be able to calculate emissions from road and induced traffic you need to have data from shifted VKT, induced VKT, road roughness fuel economy adjustment etc.  Induced traffic (new road):	ADB Evaluation Study, Methodology for Transport Emissions, Evaluation Model for Projects, July 2010.  http://www.adb.org/Documents/Evaluation/Knowledge-Briefs/REG/EKB-REG-2010-16/methodology.pdf			

			Transport Sector: Roa	d Construction and Rehabilitation	
Operational Emissions	<u> </u>		put Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	4 5 6 7 8	0.98 0.98 0.97 0.96 0.95	Older Pavement with surface imperfections Well maintained unpaved road Older Pavement with frequent surface imperfections Maintained unpaved road Older Pavement with minor depressions Maintained unpaved road with frequent surface imperfections Older Pavement with minor depressions Maintained unpaved road with frequent minor depressions Maintained unpaved road with frequent minor depressions Maintained unpaved road with shallow depressions Maintained unpaved road with frequent minor depressions Maintained unpaved road with frequent shallow depressions, some deep depressions Damaged Pavements with frequent minor and shallow depressions Rough unpaved roads with frequent minor and shallow depressions	\[ \sum_i Annual VKT on the road \\ \times percentage of vehicle type \\ \times road elasticity_i \\ \times 1/ vehicle capacity by type_i \]  Induced traffic (rehabilitated road):  \[ \sum_i Annual VKT after project implem. on the road \\ \times percentage of vehicle type_x \\ \times road elasticity_i \\ \times 1/ vehicle capacity by type_x \]  Shifted VKT From Alternate Routes (rehabilitated roads):  Annual VKT after project implementation - Annual VKT before project implementation - induced traffic  Shifted VKT From Alternate Routes (new roads):  Annual VKT after project implementation - induced traffic  CO_2 (t) Alternate Routes before the project for new or rehabilitated roads=  Shifted VKT x (1+percentage of VKT saved) x percentage of vehicle type x road roughness fuel economy adjustment / 1000000	
	11	0.93	Damaged Pavements with frequent shallow depressions, some deep     Rough unpaved roads with frequent shallow depressions     Rough unpaved roads with frequent	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) of traffic on the road after project:  Shifted VKT after project (= total VKT after- induced traffic) x percentage of vehicle fleet type x road	
	12	0.92	Rough unpaved roads with frequent shallow depressions, some deep     Rough unpaved roads with frequent shallow and deep depressions	roughness fuel economy adjustment after project implementation/ 1000000  CO <sub>2</sub> induced traffic after project:	

Operational Emissions	Description / Input	Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
	14 0.91 deep	Avg Capacity  0.5  1.0  1.0  1.5  3.0  3.0  kg/l	Induced traffic VKT x percentage of vehicle fleet type x road roughness fuel economy adjustment after project implementation / 1000000  CO <sub>2</sub> (t) traffic on the road before the project=  Annual VKT on the road before the project x percentage of vehicle flees type x road roughness fuel economy adjustment /1000000  Emission factor for Road roughness and speed adjustment:  1/ [1/emission factor of vehicle fleet type x (1+ average speed fuel economy adjustment) X road roughness fuel economy adjustment)]	
	2 wheeler 3 wheeler Passenger cars Light commercial vehicle Buses Heavy commercial vehicles	2.416 2.416 2.448 2.582 2.582 2.582		

#### **Tourism Sector/ Urban Development and Housing**

Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source
Emissions from Hotel/Resort Stays	Estimates emissions based on a default factor for electricity use from hotel rooms. A custom factor can be used if available.    Default Hotel Room Electricity Usage	$CO_2$ (t) = $\sum_{i} Annual \ room \ nights \times EF_i \ hotel \ room \ type \times EF_i \ country \ electricity \ grid$	Climate Neutral Network  http://climateneutralnetwork.org/intro.ph p
Electricity Use from Streetlights only	Estimates emissions from electricity used by streetlights. This methodology should be used If street lighting is a major component of an urban development project. The general electricity use methodology may be used in conjunction to estimate emissions from other electricity use.	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Installed Watts (Number of Bulbs <b>X</b> Bulb wattage) <b>X</b> hours per day of usage <b>X</b> 365 <b>X</b> EF country electricity grid (based on latest IEA statistics)/1000	Electricity Emission Factor Source: IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition <a href="http://www.iea.org/publications/free new_Desc.asp?PUBS ID=2143">http://www.iea.org/publications/free new_Desc.asp?PUBS ID=2143</a>

	Water and Sanitation Sector: Wastewater						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
Emissions from Wastewater Treatment Lagoons	Estimates fugitive methane emissions from the aerobic or anaerobic digestion of the organic matter during the wastewater treatment process. This method also estimates fugitive nitrous oxide emissions from the nitrogen content in the effluent.  ESTIMATED BOD5 VALUES IN DOMESTIC WASTEWATER FOR SELECTED REGIONS AND COUNTRIES  COUNTRIES  Country/Region BOD(5) (g/person/day)  Brazil 50  Other Latin America 40  Type of Waste discharge:  Methane Correction Factor Producing	CH <sub>4</sub> (t) =  Population served by WWTP <b>X</b> EF BOD (kg BOD/person/day) <b>X</b> 365 (days)) <b>X</b> EF methane correction factor <b>X</b> maximum CH <sub>4</sub> producing capacity (kg CH <sub>4</sub> /kg BOD) by type of treatment/discharge  OR CH <sub>4</sub> (t) =	IPCC, Waste - available at http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html				

Water and Sanitation Sector: Wastewater					
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements			Calculation Method	Methodology Source
			Capacity kg CH <sub>4</sub> /kg BOD	Total annual BOD Load (BOD5 load (kg BOD5/day) <b>x</b> 365 (days)	
	Untreated - Sea, river, and lake discharge	0.1	0.6	EF methane correction factor ${\bf X}$ maximum CH $_4$ producing	
	Untreated - Stagnant Sewer Untreated - Flowing Sewer (open or closed)	0.5	0.6	capacity (kg CH <sub>4</sub> /kg BOD) by type of treatment/discharge	
	Treated-Centralized, aerobic treatment plant (well-managed)	0	0.6		
	Treated-Centralized, aerobic treatment plant (overloaded) Treated-Anaerobic digester for	0.3	0.6		
	sludge (excludes CH <sub>4</sub> capture) Treated-Anaerobic reactor (excludes CH <sub>4</sub> capture)	0.8	0.6		
	Treated-Anaerobic shallow lagoon (<2m)	0.8	0.6		
	Treated-Anaerobic deep lagoon (>2m) Treated-Septic System	0.8	0.6		
	Treated-Latrine (3-5 users, dry climate, above water table)	0.3	0.6		
	Treated-Latrine (Many users, dry climate, above water table) Treated-Latrine (Wet climate/flush	0.5	0.6		
	water use, below ground water table)	0.7	0.6		
	Treated-Latrine (Regular sediment removal for fertilizer)	0.1	0.6		
Emissions from Digester Gas	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		From incomplete combustion $CH_4$ (t) =  Percentage of captured/flared digester gas (kg) of total emissions from wastewater treatment lagoons (m3/year) $\mathbf{X}$ EF $CH_4$ combustion efficiency (0.99)	IPCC, Waste - available at <a href="http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.ip/public/2006gl/index.html">http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.ip/public/2006gl/index.html</a> Local Government Operations Protocol For the quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions inventories. Version 1.0, September 2008. These	

	Water and Sanitation Sector: Wastewater						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
		+ N <sub>2</sub> O (t) =  Percentage of captured/flared digester gas (kg) of total generation digester gas (m3/year) (WWTP x EF biogas generation (1 ft3/person/day) x 0.0283 (conversion from ft3 to m3) ) x 365 (days)  x  EF heat content of biogas (Btu /m3) /1000000  x  EF N <sub>2</sub> O (0.1)/1000	methodologies are adapted for use by local governments from Section 6.2.2 of the 1996 IPCC Guidelines and Section 8.2 of the US EPA Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks (1990-2006).  http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html				
N <sub>2</sub> O emissions	Estimates emissions from advanced centralized wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) with controlled nitrification and denitrification and emissions from wastewater effluent discharged to aquatic environments (rivers, streams, lakes)	Direct Emissions from advanced centralized WWTPs with controlled nitrification and denitrification $N_2O\ (t) =$ $Total\ population\ served\ by\ advanced\ centralized\ WWTP\ \textbf{x}\ EF\ (g\ N_2O\ /person/yr)\ 3.2$ $Indirect\ Emissions\ from\ wastewater\ effluent\ discharged\ to\ aquatic\ environments\ (rivers,\ streams,\ lakes)$ $N_2O\ (t) =$ $Default\ total\ nitrogen\ in\ effluent\ (kg\ N/yr)\textbf{x}\ EF\ (kg\ N_2O\ -N/kg\ N)\ 0.005$	IPCC 2006, Volume 5, Chapter 6.  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/5 Volu me5/V5 6 Ch6 Wastewater.pdf				
Purchased Electricity	Estimates emissions from electricity use during wastewater treatment plant operations.	See calculation in construction section.	Electricity Emission Factor Source: IEA CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion - 2011 Edition http://www.iea.org/publications/				

	Water and Sanitation Sector: Wastewater						
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
Water Supply: Emissions from Purchased Electricity	Estimates emissions generated from the supply of water as a result of the project  Default Electricity Factor  0.404752997 kWh/m3	CO <sub>2</sub> (t) =  Annual volume of water supplied ( m³/yr) x EF <sub>1</sub> electricity for water supplied per m³ x EF <sub>1</sub> country  electricity grid	Electricity Factor: Average of factors from several different sources, including:  The Alliance to Save Energy, Water Program (Mexico's Monclova water project, http://esmap.org/esmap/sites/esmap.org/files/Mexico. Monclova Water 071010%20final%20edi ted.pdf; Veracruz, Mexico project, http://watergy.org/resources/casestudies/veracruz.mexico.pdf; Pune, India: http://watergy.org/resources/casestudies/pune_india.pdf)  Managing Wet Weather with Green Infrastructure, Municipal Handbook: Rainwater Harvesting Policies. Prepared by the Low Impact Development Center (EPA-833-F-08-010) http://beta.asoundstrategy.com/sitemaster/userUploads/site300/Municipal%20rainwater%20harvesting.pdf  U.S. Water Supply and Distribution, University of Michigan Center for Sustainable Systems, http://css.snre.umich.edu/css.doc/CSS05-17.pdf				

Water and Sanitation Sector: Solid Waste								
Operational Emissions	Description / Input Data Requirements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source					
CH <sub>4</sub> Emissions from Landfill Operations	This method is based on a mass of waste disposed, the estimated amount of degradable organic carbon (DOC) content of the waste based on waste type, and the oxidation rate. It does not incorporate any time factors into the methodology. Rather, this methodology assumes that all potential	$\mathrm{CH_4}\left(t\right)$ = Percentage of waste type by weight $\mathbf{X}$ EF $DOC$	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5.					

Water and Sanitation Sector: Solid Waste									
Operational Emissions	Description / l	Input Data Requ	irements	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
	CH <sub>4</sub> is released from waste in Although this is not what act of the current year's emission waste disposed of has been r years. If, however, there hav waste disposal, a more detail recommended, such as the Ti Guidelines.	ually occurs, it gives a re ns if the amount and cor elatively constant over e been significant chang led method of estimatin	easonable estimate inposition of the che previous several tes in the rate of gemissions is	content (fraction) <b>X</b> total mass of waste disposed annually (t/yr) <b>X</b> EF CH <sub>4</sub> fraction value for Degradable Organic Carbon that Decomposes (DOCf) (0.5)	http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html				
	Waste types:  IPCC Default DOC Fractions by Waste			methane correction factor associated with type of solid waste disposal $\mathbf{X}$ EF $CH_4$ fraction value for					
	Waste Type Food waste	DOC Content (fraction)	Fossil Carbon (fraction)	Fraction of CH₄ in Generated Landfill Gas (F) (0.5) -					
	Garden Paper Wood and straw Textiles Disposable nappies Sewage sludge Rubber Bulk MSW waste Industrial waste  Type of solid waste disposa  Waste Disposal Classific Correction Factors Defa  Managed – anaerobic Managed – semi-aerobic Unmanaged – deep (>5 r Unmanaged – shallow (<:	cation and Methane aultValues  n waste)	0 0.01 0 0.2 0.1 0 0.2 0.2	Amount of CH₄ captured (t/yr)					
Incineration of Waste	$\begin{tabular}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$			$CH_4(t) =$ Annual amount of waste incinerated (t/yr) <b>X</b> EF	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5.				

Water and Sanitation Sector: Solid Waste									
Operational Emissions	Description / Input l	Data Requiren	nents	Calculation Method	Methodology Source				
	different incineration technologies, in semi-continuous incineration, batch ty of waste. The methodology uses the a default CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O emission factors.  Types of incineration:  IPCC Default CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O Factor Solid Waste  Type of Incineration technology Continuous incineration - Stoker Continuous incineration - Fluidized Bed Semi-continuous incineration - Stoker Semi-continuous incineration - Fluidized Bed Batch type incineration - Stoker Batch type incineration - Fluidized Bed Open Burning of Waste	pe incineration, and mount of waste inci	d open burning nerated and	incineration technology  +  N <sub>2</sub> O (t) =  Annual amount of waste incinerated (t/yr) <b>X</b> EF i incineration technology	Chapter 5.  http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html				
Fuel Use from Transportation of Waste	Estimates $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ , and $N_2O$ emission transportation of waste to landfills. T emissions from mobile sources based or by type of vehicle and total miles tr available. Default $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ , and $N_2O$ c custom emission factors may be used	his method estimate on the type and amo aveled if fuel use dat emission factors are	es fuel use ount of fuel use ta are not	See calculation for fuel use in construction section.	CO <sub>2</sub> Factors - WRI/ WBCSD Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative. Average HWY/CITY and small/medium/large vehicle sizes- http://www.ghgprotocol.org/calculation- tools (mobile) CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O Factors - EPA Climate Leaders: http://www.epa.gov/stateply				